

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/632,258	07/31/2003	Maria E. de Leon	19,245	5492	
23556 7	590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER		
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			HUYNH, KHOA D		
NEENAH, WI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3751		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/632,258	DE LEON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Khoa D. Huynh	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addres	S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, min. a reply within the statutory minimum eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6 statute, cause the application to beco	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	rication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	31 July 2003.					
	This action is non-final.		*			
3) Since this application is in condition for all		matters, prosecution as to the me	rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	t	•				
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application	ation					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-7,9,10 and 20-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8,11-19,32 and 33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requiremen	•				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the dra	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form PTO-19	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		3 (0), (0), 0. (1)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	nents have been received	in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have b	een received in this National Stag	je			
application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape	No(s)/Mail Date  of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	`			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28, 8/3, 9/17/04.		e or Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species IV in the reply filed on 08/04/04 is acknowledged. Applicant indicates in the reply that claims 1-4, 8, 11-19, 32 and 33 are readable on the elected species.

Claims 5-7, 9, 10 and 20-31 have been withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to the non-elected inventions.

## Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 32 and 33 are objected to because of the following informalities: all capital letters in the body of the claims should be changed to lower case letters since only the first letter at the beginning of the claim should be capitalized. Appropriate correction is suggested.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 18, it is unclear what structural limitation applicant intends to cover when claim 18 calls for "said set of first graphics are related to said decorative graphic theme". Such limitation renders the claim indefinite it does not have any clear support in the instant specification, especially as shown

in the elected embodiment of Figure 5. As understood from Figure 5, the set of first graphics are the foot prints 54 and the decorative graphic is the sail boat 60. It is unclear how the foot prints related to the theme of the sail boat is.

Claim 19 depends on claim 18 and is likewise indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 33, as presently understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frankel (5375271).

The Frankel reference discloses a disposable mat (10) having a longitudinal direction, a lateral direction and at least one edge (Fig. 1). The mat also includes a first face (16), a second face (12) and a decorative graphic (18) located on the second surface. The decorative graphic has a theme which is the head of an elephant (col. 4, lines 15-19), and wherein the theme is related to the theme of the article graphics (18,20,22,24) which are bubbles coming out of the elephant head.

7. Claims 1-3, 8 and 11, as presently understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown (4675015).

Regarding claim 1, the Brown reference discloses a disposable article (10) having a longitudinal direction, a lateral direction and at least one edge (Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,258

Art Unit: 3751

The article includes a first face (the surface not in contact with the skin), a second face (the surface that contact the skin) opposite the first face, at least one line of weakness (about 14) being configured to allow the separation of a removable portion from the disposable article, and a holding mechanism (13) configured to increase the resistance of the article to movement.

All functional implication and statement of intended use have been fully considered. They, however, are deemed not to impose any structural limitations distinguishable over the Brown article which is capable of being used as a mat if so desire.

Regarding claim 2, the first face includes the plastic layer 25, thus it is liquid impermeable.

Regarding claim 3, as schematically shown in Figure 2, the at least one line of weakness is provided by perforations (20,21).

Regarding claims 8 and 11, the Brown reference also discloses that the at least one line of weakness "may be formed as tear-out square or circle by perforations" (col. 2, lines 34-35). Thus, the at least one line of weakness is curvilinear (formed as circle by perforations).

8. Claims 1 and 3, as presently understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barker (6174581).

The Barker reference discloses a disposable mat (10) for protecting the floor. The mat has a longitudinal direction, a lateral direction and at least one edge (Fig. 2). The mat includes a first face (16,18), a second opposite face (14),

Application/Control Number: 10/632,258

Art Unit: 3751

at least one line of weakness (30) being configured to allow the separation of a removable portion from the mat and a holding mechanism (col. 1, lines 59-60) configured to increase the resistance of the mat movement when placed on a planar surface.

Regarding claim 3, the at least one line of weakness is provided by perforations.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 1, 3, 8 and 11, as presently understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huffman et al. (6763531).

Regarding claim 1, the Huffman et al. reference discloses a disposable apron or mat (10) having a longitudinal direction, a lateral direction and at least one edge (Fig. 1). The article includes a first face (the surface that faces the element 160 in Fig. 2), a second face (the surface that faces element 120 in Fig. 2) opposite the first face, at least one line of weakness (Fig. 1) being configured to allow the separation of a removable portion from the disposable mat, and a holding mechanism (60) configured to increase the resistance of the article to movement..

Regarding claim 3, as schematically shown in Figure 2, the at least one line of weakness is provided by perforations (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 8, as schematically shown in Figure 1, the at least one line of weakness is curvilinear.

Regarding claim 11, as schematically shown in Figure 1, the mat further includes a mat perimeter, and the at least one line of weakness is shaped such that upon separating the portion from the mat, an opening is formed within said perimeter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 4, as presently understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown.

Even though the Brown reference does not specifically disclose that the at least one line of weakness is provided by ultrasonic bonds, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Brown reference by using the ultrasonic bonds as a preferred thermal forming process to form the at least one line of weakness, especially since Brown also discloses that the line of weakness can be formed other thermal forming process known (col. 2, lines 10-11).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,258

Art Unit: 3751

12. Claim 12, as presently understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huffman et al.

The Huffman et al. reference DIFFERS in that it does not specifically disclose the opening is at least 22 cm wide as claimed. It, however, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ such width for the opening size since discovering an optimum value for size of an opening involve only routine skill in the art.

13. Claims 13-17 and 32, as presently understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barker (as discussed above) in view of Yoshiharu (2002165729).

Regarding claim 13, the Barker reference DIFFERS in that it does not specifically include a pair of first reference marks as claimed. Attention, however, is directed to the Yoshiharu reference which discloses a mat for use in urination station. The mat includes a pair of reference marks (3) configured to indicate where the feet of the user should be place when using the urinal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the Barker mat by employing a pair of reference marks, in view of the teaching of Yoshiharu, in order to alert the user where the feet should be place when using the toilet to prevent the waste liquid, i.e. urine from dripping on to the mat.

Regarding claims 14 and 15, the modified Barker reference also DIFFERS in that it does not specifically disclose that the marks locate a first substantially

equidistance between 5 and 22 cm as claimed. It, however, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the modified Barker mat by using such equidistance for placing the marks because (a) such location of the marks allows user to be placed in the central location of the toilet, thereby preventing misaim when urinating and (b) discovering an optimum value for the preferred distance between the marks involve only routine skill in the art.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, as schematically shown in Figures 5 of Yoshiharu, the marks come in a set and are substantially similar. The marks are depicted by a pair of foot prints.

Claim 32 is similar to claim 13 and is rejected as discussed above.

14. Claims 18 and 19, as best understood since the claimed limitations are vague and unclear, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the modified Barker (as discussed above) in view of Tokiko (2002209799).

The modified Barker reference also DIFFERS in that it does not specifically include a decorative graphic as claimed. Attention, however, is directed to the Tokiko reference which discloses another mat for protecting the floor. The mat includes a decorative graphic (Fig. 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the modified Barker mat by employing a decorative graphic, in view of the teaching of Tokiko, in order to provide visual stimulation to the user while using the mat. Regarding the limitation "related in theme", the decorative

Application/Control Number: 10/632,258 Page 9

Art Unit: 3751

graphic appears to be stars stimulation graphics. Such first set of graphics and the decorative graphic are related in theme since the first set of graphics (foot prints) tells a young user, i.e. an infant where to stand when potty training and the decorative graphic provides artistic stimulations to the young user when using the toilet.

### Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jenkins was cited to show an absorbent mat. Mason, III was cited to show a ground covering mat having curvilinear perforations. Sweeney et al. was cited to show a disposable mat having at least one line of weakness. Hickman was cited to show a potty training device having a pair of foot prints. Lopez-Valverde was cited to show a disposable mat having perforations and decorative graphics.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoa D. Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 306-5483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,258 Page 10

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khoa D. Huynh Patent Examiner Art Unit 3751

HK 10/17/04